

INVESTIGATION OF AVAILABILITY OF RIGID POLYURETHANE FOAM AS SHOCK ABSORBING MATERIAL FOR HEAVY CASK

Jun OKADA Satoshi ASHIDA Akihiro ARAI Akio OIWA Masayuki TANIGAWA HITACHI ZOSEN CORPORATION HITACHI ZOSEN CORPORATION HITACHI ZOSEN CORPORATION HITACHI ZOSEN CORPORATION HITACHI ZOSEN CORPORATION

Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on the Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Materials PATRAM 2010



Background

The size of an impact limiter of a transportation cask is limited by operational condition.

Wood has been mainly used as shock absorbing material because it has enough capability in the limited volume.

It has become difficult to procure wood in large quantities constantly, which satisfies the characteristics specified by design.







Rigid Polyurethane foam(R-PUF) was chosen as shock absorbing material for two reasons

- Its characteristic of crush strength is adjustable
- R-PUF can be prepared at low cost which is almost the same as that of the lowest-priced wood.

The purpose of this work is to confirm whether R-PUF has enough performance to adopt it as shock absorbing material for heavy cask.



Contents of this study

Availability of R-PUF as shock absorbing material for cask were investigated by following experiments.

- 1. Drop Weight Test
- 2. Drop Test of 1/3 Scale Model Cask
- 3. Fire Resistant Test



1. Drop weight test







Test specimen



R-PUF High density $\rho=0.52g/cm^3$





R-PUF Middle density $\rho=0.33g/cm^3$

R-PUF Low density $\rho=0.12g/cm^3$

Test specimen: column shape sized Diameter 80mm Heights 70mm





Temperature condition of drop weight test



Temperature condition -40, -20, 0, 25, 50, 75, 100 °C

Constant-temperature bath



Test specimen

Dummy specimen to measure temperature of test specimen



Result of drop weight test



High density R-PUF

Middle density R-PUF

Low density R-PUF

Middle and low density R-PUF, the temperature effect is few.



2. Drop test of 1/3 scale Model Cask

1/3 scale model cask





Test model of 1/3 scale cask



- Shock absorbing material : R-PUF
- Diameter of the cask body : 0.8m
- Diameter of the impact limiter : 1.2m
- Total height : 2.2m

(including impact limiters)

 Total mass : 4950kg (including impact limiters)



Two types of impact limiter





Impact limiter





Test method and measurement method





Hitachi Zosen



Maximum acceleration



Type B R-PUF model showed a decrease by 7-20% in the maximum acceleration as compared to the plywood.

Thus, the impact limiter composed of R-PUF indicated superior shock absorbing capability to that composed of plywood.



Deformation of impact limiter at the most destructive state

Type A (High density R-PUF)



Type B (Composite density R-PUF)



Both lid-side and bottom-side of impact limiters were damaged. However, the cask body remained intact.



3. Fire resistant test



The fire resistant capability was examined by keeping the test specimens in the furnace of 800°C for 30 minutes.



Test specimen of fire resistant test

Type of Test spec in en	Density (g/cm³)	S ize of Test spec in en	Thickness of cover plate	Structure of test specimen	
High density R−PUF	0.52	400m m x 400m m x 400m m	H eated wall : 6m m Insu lated wall : 3.2m m	Hole 150mm in a diam eter	
Middle density R–PUF	0.31			Heated wall The rest of 4 walls were insulated	
P lyw ood	0.54				







Time history of temperature in fire resistant test



Temperature of all the test specimens were kept in less than 40 °C constantly.



Overview of fire resistant test



High density R-PUF

Middle density R-PUF

Plywood

19





- 1. Stress strain curves of three density type of R-PUF under each temperature condition were obtained by drop weight test.
- 2. Results obtained by side drop tests of a 1/3 scale model cask suggested that the impact limiter composed of both high and middle density R-PUF had superior shock absorbing capability than that of plywood.
- 3. Results obtained by fire resistant test suggested that R-PUF showed almost the same fire resistant capability as plywood and that actual cask body was not damaged by fire accident at the level of IAEA rule.







Restraint condition of test specimen







Test result of drop weight test





Difference of falling height



Height 2m: Impact velocity :6.3m/s

Height 3m: Impact velocity :7.7m/s







Parts of 1/3 scale cask model







Impact limiter



Primary lid

Impact limiter and cover plate Content (Basket)



Secondary lid



Cask body 26



Maximum acceleration (1/10 scale model)

Materialofin pact limiter	Scale		Maximum acceleraion *	
		D rop or ientation	Lid	Cask body
			(m / s²)	(m / s²)
R−PUF (FR3730)	1/10	Side Drop	579.0	532.1
P lyw ood ^{**}	1/3	Side Drop	623.3	545.6

*: Max acceleration was converted to the value of actual size cask according to the similarity law **: Result of the plywood as material of the imapct limiter refers to [1]

The R-PUF model showed a decrease by 2-7% in the maximum acceleration compared to the plywood model. Thus, the impact limiter composed of R-PUF indicated superior shock absorbing capability to that composed of plywood.

[1] H. Tobita and K. Araki: Containment Performance of Transportable Storage Casks at 9m Drop Test. PATRAM2004, Berlin, Germany, 2004. 27



Overviw of furnace











Differential thermal analysis of R-PUF





Inside temperature of test specimen









These results indicate that R-PUF showed almost the same fire resistant capability as plywood.