



Future Perspective Based on the JAEA's Experience in MOX Fuel Transport

October, 2010 JAEA Vienna Office International Department Japan Atomic Energy Agency Takafumi Kitamura

2010PATRAM, IMO London





- 1. Framework for Nuclear Energy Policy of Japan
- **2**. Overview of transport by JAEA
- **3**. History of MOX Transport by JAEA
- 4. Transition of the returned MOX transport mode
- **5**. Ensuring transport ship
- 6. Development of MOX packages
- 7. Measures for MOX transport
- 8. Future trend and challenges of MOX transport

Framework for Nuclear Energy Policy

The Atomic Energy Commission of Japan (AEC) concluded a new "Framework for Nuclear Energy Policy", endorsed by the Cabinet in October 2005. (http://www.aec.go.jp/jicst/NC/tyoki/taikou/kettei/eng_ver.pdf)

Basic Concepts for Nuclear Power Generation

Expectation in pursuit of optimum energy supply mix for Japan that nuclear energy generation continuously contributes to the stable energy supply in Japan.

=>To aim at maintaining or increasing the current level of nuclear power generation (30 to 40 % of total electricity generation) even after 2030 for stable energy supply.

Optimal utilization of existing NPP
 Advanced models of LLWs
 Commercial use of FBRs from around 2050





Structural Outline of JAEA's Transport for NM and RAM

JAEA/NPSTC



Inland MOX Transport of JAEA





Fast reactor cycle technology development at post-irradiation examination facility of Joyo, as well as research and development concerning the innovative reactor and various application of the atomic energy, are conducted





training program are conducted



(In the second s



Mode	Showa			Heisei	
mode	1965	1975	1985	1989	1998
Air transport	Air transport from f	oreign countries			
Sea transport		Marine transport from fo	oreign countr 'Seishin Marı	ies 1" 🙀 "Akatsuki Ma	ru"
Land transport		Fugen MOX new fuel tr Joyo MOX new fuel tra	ansport (45 ti nsport (118 ti	mes 1977 - 2002) mes 1976 - 2008)	
			Мо	nju MOX new fuel transpor	t (13 times 1992 -2008)
	Bilateral Ator	nic Energy Agreements		New Agreement between L	J.S. and Japan
Convention a	reaty of	Prot <u>ection of Nuclear M</u>	aterial A Ena	cted	
technology development,	etc Analysis and new fuel pac	testing of the Monju kage (Sandia Lab.)	Plutonium a	ir transport package develo PI Air Package developmo gency countermeasures te	opment(Battelle Columbus ent (Sandia Lab.) chnology development
				R&D for physical protection	on during NM transport





Land transport of MOX new fuel to Fugen

- About 134 tons of MOX fuel transported from 1977 through 2002, or 45 times of transportation in total
- Land transport of MOX new fuel to Joyo
 - About 7.9 tons of MOX fuel transported from 1977 through present time in 2008, or 118 times of transports in total
- Land transport of MOX new fuel to Monju
 - About 9.6 tons of MOX fuel transported from 1922 through July, 2008, or 13 times of transportation in total

Others, including sea transport of offshore irradiation testing sample



Safe transport has been achieved without any accidents/incidents in around one hundred eighty transport activities.



Note) Japan-U.S. bilateral agreement on the cooperation concerning peaceful use of nuclear



Pu Air Transport Packagings for NUREG-0360 Tests



JNC common packaging type 1(Kobe)

JNC common packaging type 2 (JSW)

JAEA/NPSTC

(ALLAND Development of Pu Air Transport Package

e _{JAEA/NPSTC}

Test result to NUREG-0360 criteria (1)

	Impact test	Transportation	Leakage test result	Judgment
Common packaging type 1 (Kobe 1) (Horizontal impact)	Date of testing: November 2, 1989 Velosity:131m/s Direction of impact : Horizontal		 # Leak rate of primary containment vessel : 9.3 atm cm3 / sec # Leak rate of the inner container (AA303) : 5.6 x10-7 atm cm3 / sec # Powder can deformed but no breakage 	Good
Common packaging type 1 (Kobe 2) (center of gravity - corner impact)	Date of testing: November 16, 1989 Velosity:129.5 m/s Direction of impact : Corner		 # Leak rate of primary containment vessel : 0.1 atm cm3 / sec # Leak rate of the inner container (AA303) : 3.8 x10-8 atm cm3 / sec # Powder can deformed but no breakage 	Good

(ALLAND Development of Pu Air Transport Package

JAEA/NPSTC

Test result to NUREG-0360 criteria(2)

	Impact test	Transportation	Leakage test result	Judgment
Common packaging type 2 (JSW1) (Horizontal impact)	Date of testing: November 9, 1989 Velosity:127.9 m/s Direction of impact : Horizontal		 # Leak rate of primary containment vessel : 3.4 atm cm3 / sec # Leak rate of the inner container (AA303) : 5 x10-8 atm cm3 / sec # Little or no deformation seen on the powder can 	Good
Common packaging type 2 (JSW2) (center of gravity - corner impact)	Date of testing: November 30, 1989 Velosity:97.7 m/s Direction of impact : Corner		 # Leak rate of primary containment vessel : 2.0 x10-9 atm cm3 / sec # Leak rate of the inner container (AA303) : 1 x10-6 atm cm3 / sec # Powder can deformed but no breakage 	Good





- 1. Four prototype packagings designed and improved and fabricated by JAEA reflecting an advice by SNL were tested successfully at the SNL facilities in terms of impact, penetration, tearing and fire, which are required by NUREG-0360 criteria.
- 2. Other fringe phenomena such as crush by two or more packages, mitigation effect by aircraft fuselage, and penetration by jet engine debris were also evaluated.
- 3. As the result, technical prospect was obtained that the packages will meet the criteria.

Development of Pu Air Transport Package

Murkowski Amendment

The Amendment to the Appropriations for Energy and Water bill under discussion at the time was proposed by the Senator Murkowski (Alaska), which requires safety of air transport of plutonium carried over the U.S. territory. The Amendment gained approved.



2. Crash test of an aircraft

Actual crash test for a aircraft fully loaded with real scale testing packages containing testing material is implemented.

The worst case of the aircraft accident was assumed: •Accidents of PSA Flight number 1771 occurred in California on December 7, 1987.

- •Clashed to the sandstone surface at a speed of 282m/s at an angle of 60 degrees.
- *= > X* The kinetic energy was about five times as large as the NUREG-0360 criteria.



Simulation of Murkowski Amendment Test





1/5 scale model of lashing apparatus and Air Transport Packaging of a cargo air plane floor

Target used in the impact testing



Ensuring Transport Ship for NM



Japan has established and applied for a long time the strict structural criteria to the transport ships such as spent fuel carriers

Proposed to International Maritime Organization= >The proposition became to be an international standard as international rules for safe marine transport (INF code) for Irradiated Nuclear Fuels.





Typical MOX Fuel Packages





TN-9121 / B model (for Joyo MOX new fuel)
Type BM Fissile packaging
Outside dimension and weight

Outside diameter: About 0.6 meters
Length: About 4 meters
Weight: About 0.6 ton

Gross weight of fuel package: 710 kg or less
Number of fuel unit loaded: 1



TN-9180 / A model (for Fugen MOX new fuel) Type BM Fissile packaging Outside dimension and weight

- Outside diameter: About 0.7 meter
- Length: About 5 meters
- Weight: About 1.2 tons

Gross weight of fuel package: 1,670 kg or less Number of fuel unit loaded: 2



MONJU-F model (for Monju MOX new fuel) Type BU Fissile packaging Outside dimension and weight •Outside diameter: About 0.6 meters •Length: About 5 meters •Weight: About 2.3 tons Gross weight of fuel package: 2,630 kg or less Number of fuel unit loaded: 2







Packaging for MOX powder from Rokkasho Reprocessing Plant (RRP)

Maximum weight of package

: 4,010kg

(packaging : 3,750kg)

Dimension of the package

- : about 1,440mm in diameter,
- : about 2,160mm in height



(reference) JMOX packaging

Safety Measures for Land MOX Transport



JAEA/NPSTC



Safeguards activity of nuclear fuel facility

⇒A small amount of nuclear material is needed as a standard sample
 ⇒Transport is done by air from abroad (Sea transport of plutonium is difficult to implement even a small amount.)

Special Law on Nuclear Disaster Countermeasures

- ⇒Countermeasures are required for damage of the package during transport of nuclear material even in the amount of type A package.
- ⇒ "The procedures to prepare manuals for the countermeasures against nuclear disaster during air transport " is provided for nuclear industries and air transporters to take appropriate measures (October, 2003 by Civil Aviation Bureau of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport)





Enhancing Physical Protection of Nuclear Material – The Revised Regulations

- 1. Physical Protection Inspection system to check performance of protective measures installed by nuclear facilities and transport was introduced.
- 2. Physical Protection Secrets have to be kept not to be public. Penalties are imposed on a breach by personnel including government officials and operators of nuclear industry who could have known the PPS as need-to-know basis.
- 3. Potential terrorism-related threats are assumed (Design Basis Threats, or DBT) by the Japanese Government (relevant competent authorities, Police and Coast Guard), to which operators (nuclear industries) were required to take an appropriate measures.

Enhancing Quality Assurance System

- 1. Based on the lessons of an inappropriate handling of shielding material data for fabricating a spent fuel package in 1998 in Japan, MEXT (the then-Science and Technology Agency) decided to improve Quality Assurance system for fabricating the package. A guideline was publicized in February 1999. A Quality Management Guideline for fabrication of the package in July 2002.
- 2. Based on those steps JAEA has enhanced the quality assurance of nuclear facilities and nuclear transport.







[Challenge-1] Achieving a good balance between the combination of accountability of the MOX transport and appropriate information control

[Challenge-2] Maintenance and enhancement of the measures for safety, physical protection of nuclear material, and nuclear security

[Challenge-3] Establishing an effective system to correspond to incidents for atomic energy disaster prevention, training and educating private and national organizations, and reflecting the improvements





[Challenge-4] Developing the transport system on the premise of safety, and securing appropriate economic efficiency

【Challenge-5】Improvement and maintenance of transport technology. Fostering human resource and recruiting those who have the technology and knowledge, and educating and training the relevant persons

[Challenge-6] Continuous review of adoption of air transport safety standards for MOX fuel, and its level





Thank you for your attention.

2010PATRAM