



M. TARDY (AREVA TN International)

S. KITSOS (AREVA TN International) and M. LEIN (AREVA DSR)







TNI's actinides only BUC

Very pessimistic assumptions

Only 8 major actinides
²³⁵U, ²³⁶U, ²³⁸U, ²³⁸Pu, ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, ²⁴¹Pu, ²⁴²Pu

Irradiation History

- Specific power 40 W/g
- 1 irradiation cycle
- No cooling time



TNI's actinides only BUC - Calculation scheme





- The new BUC methodology implemented in TNI is based on Actinides + Fission products (FP) and
 - Conservative irradiation data for depletion calculations
 - Use of bounding axial profiles evaluated from reactor record data or measurements
 - Validation of the depletion code
 - Validation of the criticality code



TNI's new BUC methodology

9 Actinides and 6 Fission Products (FP) are taken into account

S

²³⁵U, ²³⁶U, ²³⁸U, ²³⁸Pu, ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, ²⁴¹Pu, ²⁴²Pu, ²⁴¹Am + ¹⁴⁹Sm, ¹⁰³Rh, ¹³³Cs, ¹⁵²Sm, ¹⁴³Nd, ¹⁵⁵Gd,

<u>Nota</u>: OECD recommandations 15 FP (stable and non-gazeous) : ¹⁴⁹Sm, ¹⁰³Rh, ¹³³Cs, ¹⁵²Sm, ¹⁴³Nd, ¹⁵⁵Gd, ⁹⁵Mo, ⁹⁹TC, ¹⁰¹Ru, ¹⁰⁹Ag, ¹⁴⁵Nd, ¹⁴⁷Sm, ¹⁵⁰Sm, ¹⁵¹Sm, ¹⁵³Eu

♦ 15 PF : 80% to 90% anti-reactivity of all FP

TNI's new BUC methodology - Calculation scheme



TNI's new BUC methodology – Fuel inventory

Conservative irradiation data for depletion calculations

| Parameters | Comment | Reference |
|--|--|--|
| Specific power | pecific power $30-50 \text{ W/g} \Rightarrow \text{Low effect on reactivity}$ $\Rightarrow \text{ realistic P}_{\text{spec}}$ | |
| Fuel temperature | 550°- 650°C \Rightarrow Low effect on reactivity \Rightarrow realistic T | credit in France » ICNC2003 |
| Moderator temperature | Conservative value: at the outlet of the core | |
| Natural boron concentration in the moderator | 800 ppm Conservative value: constant average boron concentration | Phenomena and parameters important to burnup credit" ORNL IAEA 10-14 July 2000 |
| Irradiation history | 1 cycle: conservative value | |



TNI's new BUC methodology - Fuel inventory

AREVA

| Parameters | Assumptions | Comment |
|---|---|--------------|
| Location of the fuel assemblies within the core | UOX assembly surrounded by 8 MOX assemblies during the entire irradiation | Conservative |
| Control rods (B₄C or AIC) | Total insertion of the CRs during the entire irradiation | Conservative |
| Cooling time of fuel assemblies | 2 years | Conservative |



TNI's new BUC methodology - Bounding axial profiles





Burn Up (MWd/t)

TNI's new BUC methodology - Depletion code validation



Two kinds of experiments carried out :

- P.I.E on PWR spent fuels :
 - Chemical analyses of spent fuel samples (Actinide + FP)

Qualification of fuel inventory calculations

Minerve core



Oscillation of separated FP samples



Water poolGraphite MTR Central cavity: reflector bundle Test lattice

Validation of FP cross section

• Oscillation of irradiated samples from PWR fuel rod cuts

Determination of the total reactivity worth

of real irradiated samples



PATRAM 2010 – October 2010 – Presentation N°143 -p.12

TNI's new BUC methodology - Criticality code validation

Validation of the criticality code CRISTAL V1 by using French HTC & FP critical experiments

<u>HTC experiments (HTC rods)</u>

Objectives : Validation of major actinides cross sections

Series of 205 critical experiments

FP experiments (UOX and/or HTC rods)

✓ <u>Objectives</u> : Validation of FP cross sections

Six FP of BUC ⇒ ¹⁰³Rh, ¹³³Cs, ¹⁴³Nd, ¹⁴⁹Sm, ¹⁵²Sm, ¹⁵⁵Gd

✓ Series of 145 critical experiments

Common Interest Program IRSN/COGEMA under disclosure agreement

Application

ARE

Reactivity gain against fresh fuel assumption due to different BUC approaches

Transport cask loaded with 7 PWR 17x17 UO2 FAs, 5 wt. % ²³⁵U

| Average Burnup (GWd/t _{HM}) | Actinides-only | Actinides + 6FPs | Actinides + 15 FPs |
|--|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 10 | -2.9 % | -5.5 % | -6.1 % |
| 20 | - 6.1 % | -9.1 % | -10.5 % |
| 30 | -8.4 % | -12 % | -14 % |
| 40 | -10.3 % | -15.2 % | -17.3 % |
| 50 | -12.5 % | -17.7 % | -20.2 % |

New BUC method based on "Actinides + 6 FPs" used with conservative depletion and criticality calculations gives a reactivity gain of:

□ $\Delta k = 5.5 \% 10 \text{ GWd/ } t_{HM}$ □ $\Delta k = 17.7 \% 50 \text{ GWd/} t_{HM}$

Conclusion

- The advanced BUC method implemented at TN International, based on the consideration of actinides and 6 fission products, allows to <u>extend burnup</u> <u>credit advantages</u> to new transport and storage casks designs
- Calculation codes used in the advanced BUC method (DARWIN 2 and CRISTAL V1) are <u>validated to a large experimental program</u> (PIE, MINERVE, HTC and PF experiments).
- Taking profit of the feedback received from investigations on burnup credit, TN International's <u>current and expected future activities</u> for the transport/storage cask design developments are:
 - Extension of BUC method for 15 FP
 - BUC method for MOX PWR fuel assemblies
 - BUC method for UO₂ BWR fuel assemblyies





Thank you for your attention

LOGISTICS



PATRAM 2010 - October 2010 - Presentation N°143 -p.16